## **EXHIBIT I.13**

```
1
              IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
               FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
 2
                        EASTERN DIVISION
 3
     IN RE NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION | MDL No. 2804
 4
    OPIATE LITIGATION
                                   | Case No. 17-MD-2804
 5
    APPLIES TO ALL CASES
                                   Hon. Dan A. Polster
 6
 7
 8
                    Tuesday, April 23, 2019
9
10
           HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO FURTHER
11
                     CONFIDENTIALITY REVIEW
12
13
14
15
             VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION of MATTHEW PERRI, III,
    BS Pharm, Ph.D., RPh, held at Jones Day,
16
    1420 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 800, Atlanta,
    Georgia, commencing at 9:28 a.m., on the above date,
17
    before Susan D. Wasilewski, Registered Professional
    Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter and Certified
18
    Realtime Captioner.
19
20
21
22
                   GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES
23
              877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax
24
                        deps@golkow.com
25
```

- 1 A. So that's the physical distribution of the
- 2 product. That's how the supply chain works, yes.
- Q. And there is nothing wrong with being a part
- 4 of that supply chain, right?
- 5 MR. CHALOS: Object to the form.
- 6 A. I think in my report, I point out that the
- 7 full -- every -- every stakeholder in the supply
- 8 chain is critical to the delivery of pharmaceuticals
- 9 in our -- in our nation's supply chain.
- 10 Q. Every -- every participant has --
- 11 participant has an important role to play?
- 12 A. Yes, they do.
- Q. And there's nothing wrong with the roles
- 14 themselves?
- 15 A. You know, the -- I didn't make any
- 16 assessment of right or wrong, simply, you know, what
- is the -- what is the role of each in the supply
- 18 chain. So is it -- is it right or wrong for a
- 19 wholesaler to -- you know, to sell opioids? You
- 20 know, that wasn't -- it -- that wasn't part of the
- 21 analysis. What was part of the analysis is, how did
- opioids get from inception to the marketplace?
- 23 O. Uh-huh.
- A. And so the wholesalers have a role in that.
- Q. Right. And I just -- I'm stepping away from

- opioids for a second. I'm just trying to ask a very
- 2 kind of basic question.
- 3 A. Okay.
- 4 Q. There is nothing inherently wrong with being
- 5 a part of that supply chain, right?
- 6 MR. CHALOS: Object to the form.
- 7 A. Yeah. Every -- every stakeholder is
- 8 essential to providing drugs in our nation, and
- 9 that's an essential service to provide.
- 10 Q. Okay. And if you look at Page 108 of your
- 11 book, I want to direct your attention to one
- paragraph there. And it's the first page, and it's
- 13 the very last sentence on this page. Could you read
- 14 that out loud? Actually, the last two sentences.
- 15 A. I'm going to try.
- 16 Q. I can read it to you if it's too small.
- 17 A. It's pretty blurry on this.
- 18 Q. Okay. So you can tell me if it looks wrong
- 19 to you, but I read: Without the wholesaler
- 20 providing its vital distribution function in the
- 21 pharmaceutical supply chain, many pharmacies across
- the country would not be able to serve their
- 23 customers' patients. In the worst case scenario,
- those patients could possibly have to survive
- without vital medications, such as insulin, pain,

- 1 MR. CHALOS: Object to the form.
- 2 A. Yes, that's right.
- Q. And that same position in the supply chain
- 4 makes them integral to the distribution of insulin
- 5 the same way that they're integral to the
- 6 distribution of opioids?
- 7 MR. CHALOS: Object to the form.
- 8 A. That's true, yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. And it's not your opinion, correct,
- that the distributors are integral because of any
- 11 advertising that they did?
- MR. CHALOS: Object to the form.
- 13 A. So the -- my assessment of the distributor
- advertising that I refer to in the report is that,
- as expected, the distributor advertising focused
- primarily on price, quality, availability, special
- deals, stocking, and incentive-type advertising.
- 18 And on -- only on rare occasion did it affect -- did
- 19 it -- did it require a package insert or any product
- information to be distributed.
- 21 So the reason that I believe that
- 22 wholesalers are integral to that process is because
- of that function and that they did communicate
- 24 messages that were important to know in the
- 25 marketplace; for example, which generic immediate

- 1 release oxycodone product can be purchased at the
- best price, so the pharmacy can function more
- 3 efficiently, those kind of messages.
- I did not notice -- I did not see documents
- 5 that the wholesale distributors distributed
- 6 marketing messages beyond that, with few exceptions.
- 7 For example, in one instance -- and I'd have to look
- 8 in the report to get the specific details on this --
- 9 a book was distributed through -- I believe it was
- 10 Cardinal. And that book did carry with it unbranded
- 11 marketing messages.
- So, again, the primary messages, the vast
- majority of the messages were product, price,
- 14 availability, quality. And then there were some
- instances where it extended slightly beyond that in
- 16 distribution of information.
- 17 O. Okay. And so when we talk about the bulk of
- 18 the -- what you refer to as advertising or the
- 19 provision of information about, you know, price and
- 20 product availability, when you look at what you're
- 21 saying in Paragraph 184 here and you talk about
- distributors being integral to the defendants'
- 23 marketing of opioids, that's not what you're talking
- about? You're not talking about the provision of
- information about price and availability, right?